

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,351	11/13/2003	Xueping Xu	ATMI-685	1430	
23448 75	590 07/26/2006		EXAMINER		
			SPEER, TIN	ER, TIMOTHY M	
PO BOX 14329			ARTIBUT	DARED MINADED	
RESEARCH TI	RIANGLE PARK, NC 2	7709	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1775		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			G
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,351	XU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy M. Speer	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	,	I : -	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tutte, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u> 2 June 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	5
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-120</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 52-120 is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-51</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected to be	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	,	, ,	d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 	_)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the after final response submitted 06/12/06, the grounds of rejection set forth in the final Office Action dated 04/12/06 are withdrawn. Accordingly, the finality of the previous Office Action is withdrawn and prosecution is reopened in favor of the new ground of rejection set forth herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 10-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Evelyn (USPN 6,806,508).

D'Evelyn teaches III-V nitride materials, i.e., GaN, having a dislocation density of less than about 10⁵ cm⁻² (abstract and col. 6, lines 8-13, for instance). Accordingly, the materials of D'Evelyn have dislocation densities within the ranges recited in the present claims. D'Evelyn further teaches that the materials are formed as boules having varying lengths, e.g., about 0.05 cm to about 30 cm, and diameters, e.g., about 5 cm to about 15 cm (col. 4, lines 61-67, for example). Given these dimensions, D'Evelyn suggests materials having an area of at least 15 cm², as presently claimed. To select a range of surface area from that disclosed by D'Evelyn would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since D'Evelyn suggests such ranges. The materials of D'Evelyn may be used to form various electronic devices, such as optoelectronic devices, including photodectectors.

D'Evelyn teaches that the boules disclosed therein may be cut into wafers having a desired thickness, such as about 0.01 mm to 10 mm (col. 6, lines 14-32). Therefore, D'Evelyn suggests the thicknesses recited in instant claims 16 and 21-24. Additionally, D'Evelyn teaches that the materials may be polished and shaped according to methods known in the art, such as assisted ion beam etching and chemo-mechanical polishing (col. 6, lines 20-26).

Moreover, D'Evelyn teaches that the materials may include dopants, including n-type dopants such as silicon and oxygen (col. 9, lines 25-27).

Regarding the presently claimed characteristic of DDSDR set forth in claims 14-16 and 38-40, since the materials of D'Evelyn are the same as those presently claimed and have the same dislocation density, it is the Examiner's position that the materials will inherently exhibit the presently claimed DDSDR characteristics. Discovering an inherent property of a prior art material is not considered to be inventive.

In light of the above, it is the Examiner's position that the present claims are prima facie obvious in view of D'Evelyn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Speer whose telephone number is 571-272-8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy M. Speer

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

4/11/04